

Eileen Madrigal

From: Harry M. Cross Jr. [pcross@semitool.com]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2006 3:18 PM
To: Keith V. Rockey
Cc: Kathleen A. Lyons; Eileen Madrigal
Subject: RE: P00-0024US3 _Semitool Patent "Our Reference: 114183-20"

Keith, isn't the original exhibit dated? If so, what about sending Mike a copy of the original to confirm, and then ask him to sign?

Pete

From: Keith V. Rockey [mailto:krockey@rdklaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 10:39 AM
To: Harry M. Cross Jr.
Subject: FW: P00-0024US3 _Semitool Patent "Our Reference: 114183-20"

From: Mike Jolley [mailto:Mike_Jolley@cmicro.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2006 9:52 AM
To: Keith V. Rockey
Cc: Craig Meuchel (E-mail)
Subject: Semitool Patent "Our Reference: 114183-20"

I left Semitools employ more than 7 years ago. My memory of this patent application is hazy at best. My contribution was pretty limited to a hallway conversation where I suggested the use of HF with some kind of oxidizer in it as a method of removing copper from the backsides of wafers. My original suggestion for an oxidizer was hydrogen peroxide, which seems to have been supplanted by ozone in the patent documents.

I'm also a little troubled that Steve P's exhibit A is not dated anywhere.

Can you comment on the lack of a date on this? It seems rather critical to your argument. The instructions are pretty clear on this and both the inventor and the witness's are supposed to date the document.

In all honesty I would just prefer to have my name removed from this invention as I don't feel that I really played a significant part.

Best Regards,

Mike Jolley
503-810-4819

9/25/2006

